Acta Cryst. (1993). C49, 378-381

Two Iron–Tricarbonyl Complexes with Substituted Bicyclo[2.2.2]octadienes

Hermann Irngartinger, Thomas Oeser and Claus-Markus Köhler

Organisch-Chemisches Institut der Universität Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 270, D-6900 Heidelberg, Germany

(Received 7 February 1992; accepted 28 May 1992)

Abstract

The iron-tricarbonyl complexes { η^4 -(bicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5-diene-2,3-dicarbonitrile)}tricarbonyliron(0) (I) and tricarbonyl{ η^4 -(dimethyl bicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5-diene-2,3-dicarboxylate)}iron(0) (II) of the bicyclo[2.2.2]octadiene systems (III) and (IV) have distorted trigonal bipyramidal structures. The C=C double bonds in axial positions (long Fe-C distances) are shorter [(I) 1.372 (3), (II) 1.373 (3) Å] than the corresponding bonds in equatorial positions [(I) 1.447 (3), (II) 1.433 (2) Å]. The pyramidalization of the olefinic C atoms of the bicyclo[2.2.2]octadiene system is considerably increased by complexation with the Fe(CO)₃ group.

Comment

In the course of stereochemical studies of norbornadienes and related systems, we investigated the structure of quadricyclanes (Irngartinger & Jahn, 1991; Irngartinger, Jahn, Rodewald, Kiers & Schenk, 1986) and compared the structures of 1,4-bridged 2,5cyclohexadienes with respect to varying bridge length (Irngartinger, Oeser, Jahn & Kallfass, 1992). Within the scope of these studies we investigated the complexing behaviour of bicyclo[2.2.2]octadiene derivatives and we determined the structure of complexes (I) and (II) (Figs. 1 and 2).



Like pentacarbonyliron (Beagley, Cruickshank, Pinder, Robiette & Sheldrick, 1969; Almenningen, Haaland & Wahl, 1969), the iron complexes (I) and

0108-2701/93/020378-04\$06.00



Fig. 1. ORTEP (Johnson, 1971) plot of (I) with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level.



Fig. 2. ORTEP plot of (II) with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level.

(II) have a trigonal bipyramidal structure which is distorted in these complexes. The centres M23 and M56 of the double bonds C2=C3 and C5=C6 and the carbonyl groups occupy equatorial and axial positions as shown in Fig. 3. As a consequence of the molecular structure of the organic ligands the angles M23—Fe—M56 of complexes (I) and (II) deviate strongly from the geometry of a trigonal bipyramid structure by the order of 20° (Table 2, Fig. 3).



Fig. 3. Ball and stick drawing of (I) and (II). M23 and M56 are the centres of the corresponding double bonds.

© 1993 International Union of Crystallography

The present structures show pseudo-staggered conformations between the nitrile or ester substituents and the axial CO ligands. Further steric interactions would take place in the case of axial C2=C3 bonds, with their substituents standing nearly in eclipsed positions to the equatorial CO ligands. Like comparable norbornadiene Fe^o complexes (Watson, Nagl, Kashyap, Marchand & Dave, 1990), the axial Fe— C_{sp^2} distances are longer than the corresponding equatorial bonds (Table 2). As a result the axial C5 = C6 double bonds are shorter than the equatorial C2=C3double bonds with stronger Fe- π -system complexation. In comparison, the double-bond lengths of the uncomplexed ligand (III) are 1.346 (1) and 1.325 (2) Å (Irngartinger, Oeser, Jahn & Kallfass, 1992). The axial Fe-CO distances are shorter than the equatorial ones (Table 2).

The four C atoms of the iron complexes (I) and (II) associated with these double bonds are much more pyramidalized than in the non-complexed compound (III). The dihedral angles of (I) between the C1, C2, C3, C4 plane and the C9, C3, C2 and C10, C2, C3 planes are 27.1 (2) and 21.6 (2)°. The corresponding interplanar angles of (II) between C1, C2, C3, C4 and C9, C2, C3 and C11, C3, C2 are 33.3 (2) and 20.1 (2)°, respectively. In (III) the corresponding deviation is only 1.2 (1)° (Irngartinger, Oeser, Jahn & Kallfass, 1992). The interplanar angles between Cl, C6, C5, C4 and H6, C6, C5 and H5, C5, C6 are 6 (1) and 12 (1)° in (I), 7 (2) and 11 (2)° in (II) and only $3(1)^{\circ}$ in (III). All reported deviations are exo (in the direction of the bismethylene bridge). In the complexes (I) and (II), the non-bonding distances between the double bonds of the organic ligands [C2...C6 and C3...C5 are 2.336 (2) and 2.324 (2) Å for (I), and 2.328 (2) and 2.330 (2) Å for (II)] are shorter than the corresponding distance [2.444 (2) Å] between the double bonds of the uncomplexed ligand (III). Consequently, the distances between the bridgehead atoms alter in the opposite sense: C1...C4 is 2.589 (3) Å for (I), 2.583 (2) Å for (II) compared to 2.558 (2) Å for (III).

Experimental

Compound (I)

Crystal data

[Fe(C₁₀H₈N₂)(CO)₃] $M_r = 296.07$ Monoclinic $P2_1/n$ a = 6.525 (2) Å b = 13.183 (4) Å c = 14.031 (6) Å $\beta = 99.16$ (4)° V = 1191 (1) Å³ Z = 4 $D_x = 1.65$ Mg m⁻³ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71071$ Å Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 10-17^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.27$ mm⁻¹ T = 293 K Prism $0.5 \times 0.3 \times 0.3$ mm Orange-yellow

Data collection

Enraf–Nonius CAD-4
diffractometer
$\omega/2\theta$ scans
Absorption correction:
numerical
$T_{\min} = 0.684, T_{\max} =$
0.744
3235 measured reflections
2853 independent reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Final R = 0.028wR = 0.036S = 1.642270 reflections 204 parameters All H-atom parameters refined

Compound (II) Crystal data

$[Fe(C_{12}H_{14}O_4)(CO)_3]$
$M_r = 361.96$
Monoclinic
$P2_{1}/c$
a = 8.180 (1) Å
b = 8.097 (1) Å
c = 23.059 (4) Å
$\beta = 91.03 (1)^{\circ}$
V = 1527.0 (7) Å ³
Z = 4
$D_x = 1.58 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer $\omega/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: numerical $T_{min} = 0.744, T_{max} =$ 0.770 4035 measured reflections 3652 independent reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F Final R = 0.029wR = 0.038S = 1.802849 reflections 264 parameters All H-atom parameters refined 2270 observed reflections $[F>3.0\sigma(F)]$ $R_{int} = 0.02$ $\theta_{max} = 28^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 8$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 17$ $l = -18 \rightarrow 18$ 3 standard reflections

frequency: 60 min intensity variation: 3.7%

```
w = 1/[\sigma(F_o)^2]
= 4×(F_o^2)/[\sigma(F_o^2)^2]
(\Delta/\sigma)<sub>max</sub> = 0.01
\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.533 e Å<sup>-3</sup>
\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.315 e Å<sup>-3</sup>
Atomic scattering factors
from International Tables
for X-ray Crystallography
(1974, Vol. IV)
```

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71071$ Å Cell parameters from 70 reflections $\theta = 9-26^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.02 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 KPrism $0.4 \times 0.3 \times 0.3 \text{ mm}$ Orange

2849 observed reflections $[F>2.5\sigma(F)]$ $R_{int} = 0.02$ $\theta_{max} = 28^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 10$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 10$ $l = -30 \rightarrow 30$ 3 standard reflections frequency: 60 min intensity variation: 0.9%

 $w = 1/[\sigma(F_o)^2]$ = 4×(F_o^2)/[\sigma(F_o^2)^2] (Δ/σ)_{max} = 0.01 $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.246 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.382 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV)

Table	1.	Fractional	atomic	coordinates	and	equivalent
		isotropic	thermal	parameters	$(Å^2)$	-

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent						Fe—C2		2.065 (2)	C1—C6	1.515 (3)
isotropic thermal parameters $(Å^2)$						Fe—C6 Fe C3		2.227 (2)	C1—C7	1.547 (3)
						Fe—C5 Fe—C5		2.083 (2) 2.216 (2)	$C_2 = C_3$	1.433 (2)
$U_{\rm eq} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$						O1-C13		1.139 (2)	C3—C4	1.520 (2)
	r	ν		7	17	02—C14		1.142 (2)	C3-C11	1.473 (2)
(I)		<i>,</i>		2	Ueq	03		1.135 (3)	C4C8	1.511 (3)
Fe	-0.02400 (4) 0.1498	32 (2)	0.15223 (2)	0.0247 (1)	05—C9		1.320 (2)	C5C6	1.373 (3)
01	0.2677 (3)	0.0607	7 (1) N (1)	0.0353 (1)	0.0528 (8)	O5C10		1.454 (2)	C7—C8	1.539 (3)
02	-0.3167(3) 0.2651(3)		V(1)	0.1740(1) 0.3247(1)	0.0553 (8)	06C11		1.208 (2)		
N1	-0.2266 (3)	0.2289	(2) (2)	0.3901 (1)	0.0476 (9)	M73*Fe		1 047 (2)	M56* Eo	2 112 (2)
N2	0.3067 (3)	0.3697	(1)	0.3105 (2)	0.0448 (9)	10		1.947 (2)	1230	2.113 (2)
C1	-0.3701 (3)	0.2722	2(1)	0.1320(1)	0.0287 (7)	(I)				
C2 C3	-0.1837 (3)	0.2598	S (1) S (1)	0.2127(1) 0.1857(1)	0.0248 (7)	Fe-C11-01		177.9 (2)	C2—C3—C9	122.2 (2)
C4	-0.0340 (3)	0.3472	2(1)	0.0844(1)	0.0233 (7)	Fe-C12-02		175.1 (2)	C3—Fe—C2	41.3 (1)
C5	-0.1131 (3)	0.2542	2(2)	0.0269 (1)	0.0311 (8)	Fe-C2-C1		· 99.5 (1)	C3-Fe-C6	65.4 (1) 78 8 (1)
C6 C7	-0.2914(3)	0.2141	(2)	0.0517(1)	0.0303 (8)	Fe-C6-C1		92.6 (1)	C3—Fe—C11	117.8 (1)
C8	-0.2030(3)	0.3841	(2)	0.1024(2) 0.0761(2)	0.0374 (9)	Fe-C2-C3		69.8 (1)	C3-Fe-C12	138.5 (1)
C9	0.1706 (3)	0.3390	(1)	0.2559 (1)	0.0286 (7)	Fe—C2—C10		116.3 (1)	C3-Fe-C13	92.8 (1)
C10	0.2088 (3)	0.2444	(2)	0.3114(1)	0.0301 (7)	Fe-C3-C9		100.0(1) 1213(1)	C3-C2-C10	122.5 (1)
C11	0.1541 (3)	0.0963	(2)	0.0789(1)	0.0342 (8)	Fe-C5-C4		93.2 (1)	C3-C4-C8	110.8 (2)
C12 C13	-0.2039(3) 0 1504(3)	0.0459	(2)	0.1618(1)	0.0344 (8)	Fe-C6-C5		72.4 (1)	C4—C3—C9	119.9 (2)
0.0	0.1504 (5)	0.1157	(2)	0.2379(2)	0.0300 (9)	N1-C10-C2		177.7 (2)	C4C5C6	114.1 (2)
(II)						$N_2 = C_3 = C_3$		1/8.3 (2)	C4-C8-C7	109.6 (2)
Fe	0.83091 (3)) 0.1795	3 (3)	0.60361 (1)	0.0341 (1)	C1-C2-C10		121.9 (2)	C5-Fe-C11	55.8(1) 84.4(1)
02	0.4761(2) 0.8039(2)	0.1551	(2)	0.6113 (1)	0.0703 (9)	C1-C6-C5		113.3 (2)	C5-Fe-C12	115.5 (1)
03	0.8506 (2)	-0.1370	(2)	0.6659(1)	0.0714(10)	C1—C7—C8		109.8 (2)	C5-Fe-C13	150.4 (1)
04	0.7967 (2)	0.4807	(2)	0.7561 (1)	0.0757 (9)	$C_2 \rightarrow C_3 \rightarrow F_2$		68.9 (1) 78 7 (1)	C5C4C8	110.7 (1)
05	0.6401 (2)	0.2745	(2)	0.7252 (1)	0.0456 (6)	C6—Fe—C6		66.1 (1)	C6-Fe-C11	107.3(1)
00	0.3801(2) 0.7165(2)	0.532/	(2)	0.6301 (1)	0.0510 (7)	C2-Fe-C11		157.7 (1)	C6—Fe—C12	83.4 (1)
C1	1.0508 (2)	0.3123	(2)	0.6832(1)	0.0317(7) 0.0397(8)	C2FeC12		97.3 (1)	C6-Fe-C13	163.5 (1)
C2	0.8711 (2)	0.3487	(2)	0.6694 (1)	0.0312 (7)	C_2 —Fe— C_{13}		98.3 (1)	C6-C1-C7	109.7 (2)
C3	0.8507 (2)	0.4340	(2)	0.6153 (1)	0.0325 (7)	$C_2 = C_1 = C_0$		99.9 (1) 111 6 (1)	C11-Fe-C12	103.1 (1)
C4 C5	1.0118 (2)	0.4611	(2)	0.5849 (1)	0.0411 (8)	C2-C3-C4		112.0 (1)	C12-Fe-C13	94.1 (1)
C6	1.0917 (2)	0.2849	(3)	0.5791 (1)	0.0450 (9)					
C 7	1.1549 (2)	0.4718	(3)	0.6825 (1)	0.0520 (10)	M23*—Fe—M50	6*	70.1 (1)		
C8	1.1334 (2)	0.5589	(3)	0.6235 (1)	0.0514 (10)	(II)				
C10	0.7646 (2)	0.3773	(2)	0.7209 (1)	0.0361 (7)	Fe-C13-01		175.9 (2)	C2-C3-C4	112.5 (1)
C11	0.7025 (2)	0.5034	(2)	0.7741(1) 0.6016(1)	0.0592 (11)	Fe-C14-02		178.0 (2)	C2-C3-C11	121.7 (1)
C12	0.5749 (3)	0.7165	(3)	0.5364 (1)	0.0675 (12)	Fe-CIS-03		176.0 (2)	C3—Fe—C2	40.4 (1)
C13	0.6144 (2)	0.1697	(2)	0.6095 (1)	0.0442 (9)	Fe-C6-C1		93.3 (1)	C3—Fe—C6	78 3 (1)
C14 C15	0.8168 (2)	0.1307	(3)	0.5275 (1)	0.0483 (9)	Fe—C2—C3		70.5 (1)	C3—Fe—C13	96.3 (1)
015	0.0400(2)	-0.0152	(2)	0.0410(1)	0.0454 (9)	Fe-C2-C9		126.5 (1)	C3-Fe-C14	110.3 (1)
						Fe-C3-C4		98.6(1)	C3—Fe— $C15$	142.6 (1)
						Fe-C5-C4		93.4 (1)	C3-C4-C5	123.0(1) 100.4(1)
	T-11-0	C				FeC5C6		72.4 (1)	C3-C4-C8	111.3 (1)
m	Table 2.	Geometric	c param	eters (A, °)		04		123.5 (2)	C4C3C11	122.8 (1)
(I) FeC11		1 912 (2)	C1 00		1 522 (2)	04-C9-C2 05-C9-C2		121.5 (2)	C4-C5-C6 C4-C8-C7	113.5 (2) 109 7 (2)
Fe—C12		1.813 (2)	C1C2		1.533 (2)	06-C11-07		122.2 (2)	C5-C6-Fe	71.6(1)
Fe—C13		1.784 (2)	C1		1.540 (3)	06-C11-C3		125.2 (2)	C5-Fe-C13	157.0 (1)
Fe—C2		2.047 (2)	C2-C3		1.447 (3)	07-C11-C3		112.5 (1)	C5-Fe-C14	83.0 (1)
Fe-C6		2.229 (2)	C2-C10)	1.435 (3)	C1 - C2 - C9		115.8(1)	C5-Fe-C15	113.2(1)
Fe-C5		2.235 (2)	C3-C9		1.527(3) 1.433(2)	C1—C6—C5		113.6 (2)	C6-FeC5	36.0 (1)
01—C11		1.136 (3)	C4—C5		1.513 (3)	C1—C7—C8		109.7 (2)	C6-Fe-C13	158.7 (1)
02—C12		1.128 (3)	C4—C8		1.540 (3)	C2-C3-Fe		69.1 (1) 78.6 (1)	C6—Fe—C14	110.2 (1)
N1_C10		1.138 (3)	C5C6		1.372 (3)	C2FeC6		65.6(1)	C6C1C7	109.7 (1)
N2-C9		1.150 (3)	C,—C0		1.347 (3)	C2-Fe-C13		96.8 (1)	C9O5C10	115.5 (1)
						C2—Fe—C14		150.2(1)	C11-07-C12	115.5 (2)
M23*Fe		1.921 (2)	M56*I	⁷ e	2.124 (2)	C2-C1-C6		99.8 (1)	C13-Fe-C14 C13-Fe-C15	91.1 (1) 80 8 (1)
(III)						C2-C1-C7	1	111.4 (1)	C14-Fe-C15	106.5 (1)
Fe-C13		1.780 (2)	07—C11		1.344 (2)	M72* E- 1474	(*	60.0 (1)		
Fe-C14		1.802 (2)	07—C12	2	1.443 (3)	1/125M30	,.	09.9(1)		
re—C15		1.808 (2)	C1C2		1.528 (2)	*M23 and M56 a	are the ce	entres of the (C2=C3 and C5=	=C6 double bonds.

Compound (I) was synthesized by refluxing bicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5-diene-2,3-dicarbonitrile (III) (Weis, 1962) with pentacarbonyliron in di-*n*-butyl ether for 4–5 h. After dilution with hexane the solution was poured off and evaporated under vacuum. Compound (I) was obtained by flash chromatography on silica gel 60 (solvent: cyclohexane–ethyl acetate 2:1) as orange– yellow prisms. ¹H NMR: δ = 4.05 (2H), 3.8 (2H), 1.3 (2H), 1.65 (2H). IR (cm⁻¹): 2200 (*s*), 2050/2000 (*vs*), 1370 (*w*), 1340 (*w*), 860 (*m*). MS (high resolution): *m/z*(%) = 267.995, 240.003, 211.992, 183.977, 156.066, 129.040, 128.034, 101.022, 55.937. Slow crystallization from methanol afforded single crystals of (I) (m.p. 440–442 K).

Compound (II) was synthesized by heating enneacarbonyl diiron with dimethyl bicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5-diene-2,3-dicarboxylate (IV) in toluene (Nametkine, Tyurine, Nekhaev, Ivanov & Bayaouova, 1976). Single crystals of (II) were obtained by crystallization from methanol (m.p. 387 K).

Structure solution was carried out with *MULTAN* (Main, Fiske, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq & Woolfson, 1982) and refinement was performed with a full-matrix least-squares technique. The H atoms were located in difference maps and refined isotropically. Absorption correction was applied. All calculations were carried out with the *SDP* program package (Frenz, 1985).

We thank the Deutsche Forschungs Gemeinschaft and the Fonds der chemischen Industrie for financial support and Mrs U. Wiesinger for crystal preparation.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, H-atom coordinates, bond distances and angles involving H atoms and torsion angles have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 55539 (54 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: SH1001]

References

- Almenningen, A., Haaland, A. & Wahl, K. (1969). Acta Chem. Scand. 23, 2245-2252.
- Beagley, B., Cruickshank, D. W. J., Pinder, P. M., Robiette, A. G. & Sheldrick, G. M. (1969). Acta Cryst. B25, 737-744.
- Frenz, B. A. (1985). Enraf-Nonius SDP-Plus Structure Determination Package. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Irngartinger, H. & Jahn, R. (1991). Croat. Chem. Acta, 64, 289-294.
- Irngartinger, H., Jahn, R., Rodewald, H., Kiers, C. T. & Schenk, H. (1986). Acta Cryst. C42, 847-849.
- Irngartinger, H., Oeser, T., Jahn, R. & Kallfass, D. (1992). Chem. Ber. 125, 2067–2073.
- Johnson, C. K. (1971). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-3794, revised. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Main, P., Fiske, S. J., Hull, S. E., Lessinger, L., Germain, G., Declercq, J.-P. & Woolfson, M. M. (1982). MULTAN11/82. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- Nametkine, N. S., Tyurine, V. D., Nekhaev, A. I., Ivanov, V. I. & Bayaouova, F. S. (1976). J. Organomet. Chem. 107, 377–391.
- Watson, W. H., Nagl, A., Kashyap, R. P., Marchand, A. P. & Dave, P. R. (1990). Acta Cryst. C46, 24–27.
- Weis, C. D. (1962). J. Org. Chem. 28, 74-78.

Acta Cryst. (1993). C49, 381-383

Structure of [Fe(C₁₆H₁₄ClNO₄)(CO)₃]

JU-CHUN WANG,* CHIA-HSING SUN AND GIN-ZONG YANG

Department of Chemistry, Soochow University, Taipei, Taiwan

FRANK R. FRONCZEK AND STEVEN F. WATKINS

Department of Chemistry, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA

(Received 14 April 1992; accepted 23 September 1992)

Abstract

Tricarbonyl[(5,6- η)-dimethyl 7-(*p*-chlorophenyl)-7azabicyclo[2.2.1]hepta-2,5-diene-2,3-dicarboxylate- κN]iron(0), [Fe(C₁₆H₁₄ClNO₄)(CO)₃], was synthesized and structurally characterized. The Fe—CO distances are 1.807 (3), 1.800 (3) and 1.741 (3) Å. The Fe—N distance is 2.064 (2) Å. The Fe atom interacts with the unsubstituted olefinic bond. The two Fe—C distances are 2.030 (3) and 2.028 (2) Å. The C—C distances for substituted and unsubstituted double bonds are 1.341 (3) and 1.424 (3) Å, respectively. Two H atoms attached to the coordinated double bond are 0.36 (4) Å away from the least-squares plane of C1C2C3C4.

Comment

Transition-metal-promoted nitrene-extrusion reactions in 7-azanorbornadiene derivatives (ANB) are of importance in the synthesis of aromatic compounds (Sun & Chow, 1988; Sun, Chow & Liu, 1990). Several complexes of transition metals with ANB have been structurally characterized (Sun, Chow & Liu, 1990; Wang, Sun, Chow & Liu, 1991; Liu, Sun, Yang, Wen, Wu, Shih & Lin, 1992). In this paper we report the crystal structure of $[Fe(C_{16}H_{14}CINO_4)(CO)_3]$ (I). Two CO groups, which are *cis* to each other and *trans* to the double bond, have Fe—CO distances 1.807 (3) and 1.800 (3) Å.

